






# Evaluation results

## Conference on Wind energy and Wildlife impacts

2-5 May 2011, Trondheim, Norway

In total 125 (>40%) persons filled out the questionnaire sent out to all attendants. We would like to thank all for taking the effort to give us their valuable feedback to the conference organization. These results will be used to further improve future conferences.

2. Please indicate your opinions on the conference overall:




- 1 Very low
- 2 Low
- 3 Average
- 4 High
- 5 Very high





***What part(s) of the CWW2011 conference were most rewarding, enjoyable and/or useful to you personally?***

Many of you have mentioned that the networking possibilities and the high standard of many interesting oral presentations and posters were most rewarding. The diversity and breadth of the sessions and presentations were commended. Also the coffee and poster breaks were mentioned to be good for mingling and meeting people. Finally many enjoyed the food and the post-conference excursions!

***What part(s) of the CWW2011 conference were most disappointing, frustrating or uninteresting to you personally?***

The aspects that have been mentioned most often as being disappointing were the final panel debate, oral presentations, poster room and price. The final panel debate has frustrated several, possibly due to the lack of a final conclusion and/or lack of involvement from the audience. Some mentioned that the quality of the oral presentations varied or too technical, and presenters should be given more time (e.g. 20 minutes). The poster room was seen as being too small for all the posters. It was difficult to come through the crowd from poster to poster. The prices for the conference and banquet were felt to be too high for some attendants.






- 1 Very dissatisfied
- 2 Dissatisfied
- 3 Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- 4 Satisfied
- 5 Very satisfied

***What suggestions do you have for the meeting facilitators to improve future conferences?***

Attendants suggested that the final panel debate may have had a better impact when it would have been preceded by a short presentation summarizing the conference; and with a stronger involvement of the audience. A stronger involvement of especially the industry was felt to be important, but lacking at this conference. Else, we have received many practical suggestions (payment by credit card, speed-dating, message board, etc.) which we will take with us.



***What other session topics would have been useful to you?***

What people missed most during the conference was focus on the regulatory, consenting/licensing and political aspects of dealing with these conflicts. Attendants would also have liked to have heard more from the industry and administration perspectives. The planning processes and possible landscape effects is something several felt should receive more focus. Certainly more research is needed on possible solutions; a topic which surely will have more attention in a possible next conference. Although some studies on population effects have been presented, several would have liked to hear on this. We can only agree on this, not in the least in combination with cumulative effects. Finally, it was clear that the major body of research is done in the field of birds and bats; much work still remains to be done on marine wildlife (fish, benthic flora and fauna) and terrestrial mammals. The latter topic just for this reason (general lack of knowledge) included as an evening workshop.